

Message Text

PAGE 01 SALT T 05598 02 OF 04 221923Z

42

ACTION SS-30

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00 CIAE-00 /031 W

----- 049631

P 221700Z OCT 73

FM USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2145

INFO DOD

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 4 SALT TWO GENEVA 5598

EXDIS/SALT

SPECAT EXCLUSIVE FOR SECDEF

ALTERNATIVE B.

(THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPHS THROUGH III 1 (A) WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IF LAND-MOBILE ICBMS AND AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILES CAPABLE OF A RANGE IN EXCESS OF 3000 KILOMETERS ARE PERMITTED AND INCLUDED IN THE AGGREGATE.)

(E) A HEAVY BOMBER IS ANY AIRCRAFT OF A BOMBER TYPE (A TYPE OF AIRCRAFT EQUIPPED TO DROP BOMBS OR TO LAUNCH AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILES WITH A MAXIMUM RANGE OF LESS THAN 3000 KILOMETERS OR BOTH) WHICH FOR EXISTING AIRCRAFT HAS A GROSS TAKEOFF WEIGHT GREATER THAN 100,000 KILOGRAMS. ALL AIRCRAFT OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES ARE HEAVY BOMBERS: TUPLOEV 95, TUPOLEV (BACKFIRE)N MYASISHCHEV AND B-52 AIRCRAFT. AIRCRAFT OF BOMBER TYPES OPERATIONALLY DEPLOYED IN THE FUTURE WITH A GROSS TAKEOFF WEIGHT GREATER THAN 60,000 KILOGRAMS WILL BE INCLUDED WITHIN THE HEAVY BOMBER CATEGORY UNLESS BOTH SIDES, AFTER CONSULTATION, AGREE TO THE CONTRARY.

(FOOTNOTE: GENERAL ROWNY BELIEVES INCLUSION OF FUTURE TYPES OF AIRCRAFT AS HEAVY BOMBERS SHOULD BE DECIDED THROUGH CONSULTATION ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS.)

(F) AN AIRBORNE INTERCONTINENTAL MISSILE (AICM) LAUNCHER IS ANY MISSILE LAUNCHER WHICH IS INSTALLED IN AN AIRCRAFT AND
SECRET

PAGE 02 SALT T 05598 02 OF 04 221923Z

WHICH IS CAPABLE OF LAUNCHING MISSILES WITH A MAXIMUM RANGE EXCEEDING 3,000 KILOMETERS.

(FOOTNOTE: HOW LAUNCHERS FOR AIRBORNE INTERCONTINENTAL MISSILES WOULD

BE COUNTED IN THE AGGREGATE MIGHT BE CLARIFIED DURING THE SUBSEQUENT NEGOTIATIONS AS FOLLOWS: "THE NUMBER OF LAUNCHERS TO BE COUNTED IN THE AGGREGATE FOR EACH SUCH AIRCRAFT IS EQUAL TO THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUCH MISSILES WHICH CAN BE CARRIED AT ONE TIME AND LAUNCHED FROM THE AIRCRAFT."

THE REASON FOR NOT INTRODUCING SUCH A CLARIFICATION IN THE INGOING U.S. DRAFT TEXT IS TO AVOID A PRECEDENT FOR LIMITING THE NUMBER OF BOMBER ARMAMENT ASMS OF LESS THAN 3000 KILOMETER RANGES AND TO PRESERVE AS MUCH BARGAINING LEVERAGE AS POSSIBLE.)

ARTICLE III

1. EACH PARTY UNDERTAKES TO LIMIT ICBM LAUNCHERS, SLBM LAUNCHERS, AIRBORNE INTERCONTINENTAL MISSILE LAUNCHERS, AND HEAVY BOMBERS AS FOLLOWS:

(A) AFTER JANUARY 1, 1975 A PARTY MAY HAVE NO MORE THAN AN AGGREGATE TOTAL OF 2350 FIXED AND MOBILE LAND-BASED ICBM LAUNCHERS, SLBM LAUNCHERS, AIRBORNE INTERCONTINENTAL MISSILE LAUNCHERS AND HEAVY BOMBERS.

(END OF ALTERNATIVE B.)

ALTERNATIVE C.

(THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPHS THROUGH III 1 (A) WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IF LAND-MOBILE ICBMS ARE BANNED IN ARTICLE V BUT AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILES CAPABLE OF A RANGE IN EXCESS OF 3000 KILOMETERS ARE PERMITTED AND INCLUDED IN THE AGGREGATE.)

(E) A HEAVY BOMBER IS ANY AIRCRAFT OF A BOMBER TYPE (A TYPE OF AIRCRAFT EQUIPPED TO DROP BOMBS OR TO LAUNCH AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILES WITH A MAXIMUM RANGE OF LES THAN 3000 KILOMETERS OR BOTH) WHICH FOR EXISTING AIRCRAFT HAS A GROSS TAKEOFF WEIGHT GREATER THAN 100,000 KILOGRAMS. ALL AIRCRAFT OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES ARE HEAVY BOMBERS: TUPOLEV 95, TUPOLEV (BACKFIRE), MYASISHCHEV AND B-52 AIRCRAFT. AIRCRAFT OF
SECRET

PAGE 03 SALT T 05598 02 OF 04 221923Z

BOMBER TYPES OPERATIONALLY DEPLOYED IN THE FUTURE WITH A GROSS TAKEOFF WEIGHT GREATER THAN 60,000 KILOGRAMS WILL BE INCLUDED WITHIN THE HEAVY BOMBER CATEGORY UNLESS BOTH SIDES, AFTER CONSLUTATION, AGREE TO THE CONTRARY.

(FOOTNOTE: GENERAL ROWNY BELIEVES INCLUSION OF FUTURE TYPES OF AIRCRAFT AS HEAVY BOMBERS SHOULD BE DECIDED THROUGH CONSULTATION ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS.)

(F) AN AIRBORNE INTERCONTINENTAL MISSILE (AICM) LAUNCHER IS ANY MISSILE LAUNCHER WHICH IS INSTALLED IN AN AIRCRAFT AND WHICH IS CAPABLE OF LAUNCHING MISSILES WITH A MAXIMUM RANTE EXCEEDING 3,000 KILOMETERS.

(SEE FOOTNOTE TO PARAGRAPH (F) OF ALTERNATIVE B.)

ARTICLE III

1. EACH PARTY UNDERTAKES TO LIMIT ICBM LAUNCHERS, SLBM LAUNCHERS, AIRBORNE INTERCONTINENTAL MISSILE LAUNCHERS, AND HEAVY BOMBERS AS FOLLOWS:

(A) AFTER JANUARY 1, 1975 A PARTY MAY HAVE NO MORE THAN AN AGGREGATE TOTAL OF 2350 FIXED ICBM LAUNCHERS, SLBM LAUNCHERS, AIRBORNE INTERCONTINENTAL MISSILE LAUNCHERS, AND HEAVY BOMBERS.

(END OF ALTERNATIVE C.)

(B) WITHIN THE AGGREGATE PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (A) ABOVE, A PARTY MAY HAVE NO MORE THAN 1400 ICBM LAUNCHERS AFTER JANUARY 1, 1975.

(FOOTNOTE: THERE ARE THREE ALTERNATIVES FOR DEALING WITH NEW CONSTRUCTION OR RELOCATION OF SILOS. PARAGRAPH (B) AS WRITTEN WOULD PROVIDE NO LIMITS ON NEW CONSTRUCTION OR RELOCATION. THE FOLLOWING SENTENCE COULD BE ADDED TO THIS PARAGRAPH: "NEITHER PARTY WILL UNDERTAKE TO START CONSTRUCTION OF NEW FIXED ICBM LAUNCHERS AFTER THE DATE OF SIGNATURE OF THIS TREATY (EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE OF INITIALLY REACHING A LEVEL OF 1400 ICBM LAUNCHERS)." TAKEN IN ITS ENTIRETY THE ADDITIONAL SENTENCE WOULD PROHIBIT RELOCATION BUT PERMIT NEW
SECRET

PAGE 04 SALT T 05598 02 OF 04 221923Z

CONSTRUCTION FOR THE U.S. TO REACH THE CEILING.
IF THIS SENTENCE WERE ADDED WITHOUT THE BRACKETED FINAL CLAUSE, ALL NEW CONSTRUCTION AND RELOCATION WOULD BE PROHIBITED.)

(C) WITHIN THE AGGREGATE PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (A) ABOVE, A PARTY MAY HAVE NO MORE THAN 300 HEAVY BALLISTIC MISSILE LAUNCHERS AFTER JANUARY 1, 1975.

(D) WITHIN THE CEILINGS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPHS (A), (B) AND (C) ABOVE, A PARTY MAY SUBSTITUTE LAUNCHERS OR HEAVY BOMBERS FOR ONE ANOTHER, SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THIS TREATY AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH AGREED PROCEDURES.

SECRET

PAGE 01 SALT T 05598 03 OF 04 221935Z

60
ACTION SS-30

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00 CIAE-00 /031 W

----- 049664

P 221700Z OCT 73

FM USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2146

INFO DOD

S E C R E T SECTION 3 OF 4 SALT TWO GENEVA 5598

EXDIS/SALT

SPECAT EXCLUSIVE FOR SECDEF

(FOOTNOTE: IF NEW CONSTRUCTION OF SILOS IS PROHIBITED IN PARAGRAPH (B) ABOVE, THIS PARAGRAPH COULD BE MODIFIED TO PROHIBIT FREEDOM TO MIX TO ICBMS.)

2. EACH PARTY UNDERTAKES TO REDUCE THE CEILINGS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH 1 ABOVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING SCHEDULE:

(FOOTNOTE: GENERAL ROWNY BELIEVES THAT A DETAILED PLAN FOR REDUCTIONS OF CENTRAL SYSTEMS SHOULD NOT BE PRESENTED BY THE U.S. UNTIL AN AGGREGATE OF 2350 IS AGREED TO BY THE SOVIETS.)

	AGGREGATE TOTAL CEILING	ICBM LAUNCHER SUB-CEILING MISSILE LAUNCHER SUB- CEILING	HEAVY BALLISTIC
BY JAN. 1, 1976	2300	1300	250
BY JAN. 1, 1977	2200	1200	200
BY JAN. 1, 1978	2100	1100	150
BY JAN. 1, 1979	2000	1000	100
BY JAN. 1, 1980	1900	900	50
BY JAN. 1, 1981	1800	800	0

AND THEREAFTER

SECRET

PAGE 02 SALT T 05598 03 OF 04 221935Z

3. THE LIMITATIONS ARE SET FORTH ABOVE SHALL APPLY TO THOSE LAUNCHERS AND BOMBERS WHICH ARE, OR BECOME (A) OPERATIONAL

(B) UNDER CONSTRUCTION, WHICH FOR FIXED ICBM LAUNCHERS IS DEFINED AS STARTING WHEN EXCAVATION FOR SUCH LAUNCHERS IS INITIATED, FOR SLBM LAUNCHERS IS DEFINED AS STARTING WHEN COMPONENTS FOR SUCH SUBMARINES ENTER THE FINAL ASSEMBLY FACILITY, AND FOR HEAVY BOMBERS (AND LAND-MOBILE ICBMS AND AICM LAUNCHERS) IS DEFINED AS STARTING WHEN THE AIRCRAFT (OR LAUNCHERS) ARE ROLLED OUT OF THE FINAL ASSEMBLY FACILITY.

(FOOTNOTE: BRACKETED LANGUAGE WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IF SUCH

LAUNCHERS ARE PERMITTED AND INCLUDED IN THE AGGREGATE.)

(C) UNDERGOING OVERHAUL, REPAIR OR CONVERSION

(D) MOTHBALLED.

ARTICLE IV

1. THE PARTIES UNDERTAKE NOT TO DEVELOP, FLIGHT TEST, PRODUCE, OR DEPLOY AFTER THE DATE OF ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THIS TREATY ANY MULTIPLE REENTRY VEHICLE SYSTEM FOR HEAVY BALLISTIC MISSILES OR FLIGHT TEST, PRODUCE, OR DEPLOY ANY HEAVY BALLISTIC MISSILE SYSTEM PREVIOUSLY TESTED WITH A MULTIPLE REENTRY VEHICLE SYSTEM, THE REENTRY VEHICLES OF WHICH WERE INDEPENDENTLY GUIDED.

2. THE PARTIES UNDERTAKE NOT TO DEVELOP, FLIGHT TEST, PRODUCE, OR DEPLOY

(A) ANY MULTIPLE REENTRY VEHICLE SYSTEM FOR LAND-BASED ICBMS WHICH HAS NOT BEEN FLIGHT TESTED ON A LAND-BASED ICBM PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 1973, AND

(B) ANY MODIFICATION TO ANY MULTIPLE REENTRY VEHICLE SYSTEM FOR LAND-BASED ICBMS UNLESS SUCH MODIFICATION HAS BEEN FLIGHT TESTED ON A LAND-BASED ICBM PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 1973.

(FOOTNOTE: THE TERM "MODIFICATION" WOULD NEED TO BE CLARIFIED IN THE SECRET

PAGE 03 SALT T 05598 03 OF 04 221935Z

NEGOTIATIONS.)

3. THE PARTIES UNDERTAKE TO LIMIT LAND-BASED ICBM LAUNCHERS ON WHICH ICBMS EQUIPPED WITH MULTIPLE REENTRY VEHICLE SYSTEMS ARE DEPLOYED TO (THE NUMBER OF) (THOSE) LAUNCHERS OPERATIONAL WITH MULTIPLE REENTRY VEHICLE SYSTEMS AND UNDER ACTIVE CONSTRUCTION OR ACTIVE CONVERSION FOR MULTIPLE REENTRY VEHICLE SYSTEMS AS OF JULY 1, 1973.

(FOOTNOTE: "THE NUMBER OF" WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO PERMIT DEPLOYMENT OF

MINUTEMAN III MIRVS AS LAND-MOBILE ICBMS, AND/OR IF RELOCATION WERE TO BE PERMITTED. "THOSE" WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IF LAND-MOBILE ICBMS ARE BANNED.)

ARTICLE V

1. EACH PARTY UNDERTAKES NOT TO DEVELOP, FLIGHT TEST, PRODUCE OR DEPLOY,
((A) LAND-MOBILE ICBMS,)

(FOOTNOTE: THIS PROVISION WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IF SUCH MISSILES ARE NOT PERMITTED IN THE AGGREGATE, OR IF NOT LIMITED IN SOME OTHER

WAY.)

((B) AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILES CAPABLE OF A RANGE IN EXCESS OF 3000 KILOMETERS.)

(FOOTNOTE: THIS PROVISION WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IF SUCH MISSILES ARE NOT PERMITTED IN THE AGGREGATE, OR IF NOT LIMITED IN SOME OTHER WAY.)

(C) LAND-BASED CRUISE MISSILES CAPABLE OF A RANGE IN EXCESS OF 5000 KILOMETERS.)

(FOOTNOTE: THIS PROVISION WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IF SUCH MISSILES ARE NOT PERMITTED IN THE AGGREGATE, OR IF NOT LIMITED IN SOME OTHER WAY.)

(D) SUBMARINE-BASED CRUISE MISSILES,

SECRET

PAGE 04 SALT T 05598 03 OF 04 221935Z

(E) BALLISTIC OR CRUISE MISSILES CAPABLE OF RANGES IN EXCESS OF 150 KILOMETERS, OR LAUNCHERS FOR SUCH MISSILES, FOR USE ON WATERBORNE VEHICLES OTHER THAN SUBMARINES, AND

(F) BALLISTIC OR CRUISE MISSILES, OR LAUNCHERS FOR SUCH MISSILES, FOR USE ON

- (1) THE SEABED WITHIN THE 12-MILE COASTAL SEABED ZONE,
- (2) THE BEDS OF INTERNAL WATERS.

2. EACH PARTY UNDERTAKES NOT TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF ITS AIRCRAFT EQUIPPED TO REFUEL OTHER AIRCRAFT IN-FLIGHT BEYOND THE NUMBER SO EQUIPPED ON JANUARY 1, 1974.

3. (LAND-MOBILE ICBMS AND AIRBORNE INTERCONTINENTAL MISSILES SHALL NOT EXCEED 30 CUBIC METERS IN VOLUME OR HAVE A THROW-WEIGHT IN EXCESS OF 100 KILOGRAMS.)

(FOOTNOTE: THIS PROVISION WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IF LAND-MOBILE ICBMS OR

AIRBORNE INTERCONTINENTAL MISSILES ARE PERMITTED IN THE AGGREGATE.)

ARTICLE VI

1. THE LIMITATIONS PROVIDED FOR IN ARTICLE III SHALL NOT APPLY TO ICBM AND SLBM LAUNCHERS USED FOR TESTING AND TRAINING. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF LAUNCHERS FOR ICBMS AND SLBMS FOR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TESTING, AND TRAINING AND LAUNCHERS FOR SPACE FLIGHTS SHALL NOT EXCEED LAUNCHERS FOR EACH PARTY.

(FOOTNOTE: THIS NUMBER MIGHT BE A PERCENT OF THE EQUAL AGGREGATE

OR OF THE NUMBER OF DEPLOYED LAUNCHERS.)

2. LAUNCHERS DEPLOYED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH 1 OF THIS ARTICLE, WHICH SHALL INCLUDE ALL LAUNCHERS DEPLOYED AT TEST RANGES, SHALL BE USED ONLY FOR THE PURPOSES OF TESTING AND TRAINING.

3. EACH PARTIES UNDERTAKE TO LIMIT FLIGHT TESTING OF ICBMS AND SLBMS TO CURRENT OR ADDITIONALLY AGREED TEST RANGES.

SECRET

PAGE 05 SALT T 05598 03 OF 04 221935Z

(ADDITIONAL PARAGRAPHS COULD BE ADDED TO THIS ARTICLE TO LIMIT THE ANNUAL NUMBER OF ICBM AND SLBM FLIGHT TESTS PER YEAR, OR BAN FLIGHT TESTING OF SLBMS ON A DEPRESSED TRAJECTORY.)

SECRET

PAGE 01 SALT T 05598 04 OF 04 221952Z

60

ACTION SS-30

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00 CIAE-00 /031 W
----- 049675

P 221700Z OCT 73
FM USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2147
INFO DOD

S E C R E T SECTION 4 OF 4 SALT TWO GENEVA 5598

EXDIS/SALT

SPECAT EXCLUSIVE FOR SECDEF

ARTICLE VII

1. SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THIS TREATY MODERNIZATION AND REPLACEMENT OF STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS MAY BE CARRIED OUT.

(ADDITIONAL PARAGRAPHS COULD BE ADDED TO THIS ARTICLE TO LIMIT MODERNIZATION AND REPLACEMENT, E.G., A LIMIT ON THE TOTAL NUMBER OF REPLACEMENT LAUNCHERS AND BOMBERS UNDER CONSTRUCTION, LIMITS ON THE RATE AT WHICH ICBM AND SLBM LAUNCHERS MAY BE CONVERTED TO ACCEPT NEW TYPES OF MISSILES, OR LIMITS ON THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH NEW TYPES OF MISSILES MAY BE DEVELOPED.)

ARTICLE VIII

ALTERNATIVE A. (THE PARTIES UNDERTAKE NOT TO DEPLOY ANY NEW FORM OF STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS NOT COVERED BY THIS TREATY, INCLUDING

THOSE BASED ON OTHER PHYSICAL PRINCIPLES, UNLESS BOTH SIDES, AFTER CONSULTATION, AGREE TO PERMIT DEPLOYMENT OF SUCH SYSTEMS UNDER APPROPRIATE LIMITATIONS.)

ALTERNATIVE B. (IN THE EVENT ANY NEW FORM OF STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS NOT COVERED BY THIS TREATY, INCLUDING THOSE BASED ON OTHER PHYSICAL PRINCIPLES, ARE DEVELOPED IN THE FUTURE, LIMITATION OR PROHIBITION OF SUCH SYSTEMS WOULD BE SUBJECT TO DISCUSSION AND AGREEMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE XIV OF THIS TREATY.)

SECRET

PAGE 02 SALT T 05598 04 OF 04 221952Z

ALTERNATIVE C. (THE PARTIES UNDERTAKE NOT TO DEPLOY ANY NEW FORM OF STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS NOT COVERED BY THIS TREATY, INCLUDING THOSE BASED ON OTHER PHYSICAL PRINCIPLES, IN A MANNER WHICH WOULD CIRCUMVENT THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS TREATY.)

(FOOTNOTE: GENERAL ROWNY PREFERS THERE BE NO SUCH ARTICLE.)

ARTICLE IX

STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS PROHIBITED BY THIS TREATY OR IN EXCESS OF THE LEVELS ESTABLISHED BY THIS TREATY SHALL BE DESTROYED OR DISMANTLED.

ARTICLE X

1. FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING ASSURANCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS TREATY, EACH PARTY SHALL USE NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS OF VERIFICATION AT ITS DISPOSAL IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH GENERALLY RECOGNIZED PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW.

2. EACH PARTY UNDERTAKES NOT TO INTERFERE WITH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS OF VERIFICATION OF THE OTHER PARTY OPERATING IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 1 OF THIS ARTICLE.

3. EACH PARTY UNDERTAKES NOT TO USE DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT MEASURES OR INSTITUTE FLIGHT-TEST PRACTICES WHICH IMPEDE VERIFICATION BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS TREATY. THIS OBLIGATION SHALL NOT REQUIRE CHANGES IN CURRENT CONSTRUCTION, ASSEMBLY, CONVERSION, OVERHAUL OR FLIGHT-TEST PRACTICES.

ARTICLE XI

1. TO PROMOTE THE OBJECTIVES AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THIS AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES SHALL USE THE STANDING CONSULTATIVE COMMISSION ESTABLISHED BY THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE U.S.A. AND THE GOVERNMENT OF THE U.S.S.R. REGARDING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE STANDING CONSULTATIVE COMMISSION, SIGNED DECEMBER 21, 1972.

SECRET

PAGE 03 SALT T 05598 04 OF 04 221952Z

2. WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF THE STANDING CONSULTATIVE COMMISSION,
WITH RESPECT TO THIS TREATY, THE PARTIES WILL:

(A) DECLARE ON THE DATE OF ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THIS TREATY
THE NUMBERS BY TYPE OF THOSE ARMS LIMITED BY THIS
TREATY WHICH THEY HAVE ON THAT DATE;

(B) AGREE UPON PROCEDURES AND DATES FOR DESTRUCTION, DISMANTLING,
AND REPLACEMENT, WITH PRIOR NOTIFICATION THEREOF, OF STRATEGIC
OFFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEMS AS PROVIDED FOR IN THIS TREATY;

(C) CONSIDER QUESTIONS CONCERNING COMPLIANCE WITH THE OBLIGATIONS
ASSUMED AND RELATED SITUATIONS WHICH MAY BE CONSIDERED
AMBIGUOUS;

(D) PROVIDE TIMELY INFORMATION NECESSARY TO ASSURE CONFIDENCE IN
COMPLIANCE WITH THE OBLIGATIONS ASSUMED;

(E) CONSIDER QUESTION INVOLVING UNINTENDED INTERFERENCE WITH
NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS OF VERIFICATION;

(F) CONSIDER POSSIBLE CHANGES IN THE STRATEGIC SITUATION WHICH
HAVE A BEARING ON THE PROVISIONS OF THIS TREATY;

(G) CONSIDER, AS APPROPRIATE, PROPOSALS FOR FURTHER MEASURES
LIMITING STRATEGIC ARMS.

ARTICLE XII

TO ENSURE THE VIABILITY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS TREATY, EACH
PARTY UNDERTAKES NOT TO TRANSFER TO OTHER STATES ICBMS, SLBMS, AND
HEAVY BOMBERS AS DEFINED IN ARTICLE II ABOVE.

(FOOTNOTE: SOVIET ACCEPTANCE OF ARTICLE XIII WOULD PERMIT FULFILLMENT
OF OUR PRIOR OBLIGATIONS TO THE U.K., WHILE ARTICLE XII WOULD
BAR THE FUTURE TRANSFER OF ICBMS, SLBMS, AND HEAVY BOMBERS TO
OTHER COUNTRIES.)

ARTICLE XIII

SECRET

PAGE 04 SALT T 05598 04 OF 04 221952Z

THE PROVISIONS OF THIS TREATY NOTWITHSTANDING, OBLIGATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO OTHER COUNTRIES EARLIER ASSUMED BY THE PARTIES
SHALL NOT BE AFFECTED. EACH PARTY UNDERTAKES NOT TO ASSUME
ANY INTERNATIONAL OBLIGATIONS WHICH WOULD BE IN CONFLICT WITH
THIS AGREEMENT.

ARTICLE XIV

1. EITHER PARTY MAY PROPOSE AMENDMENTS TO THIS TREATY. AGREED AMENDMENTS SHALL ENTER INTO FORCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROCEDURES GOVERNING THE ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THIS TREATY.

2. CONCURRENTLY WITH THE INITIAL REVIEW REQUIRED BY ARTICLE XIV OF THE TREATY BETWEEN THE U.S. A. AND THE U.S.S.R. ON THE LIMITATION OF ANTI-BALLISTIC MISSILE SYSTEMS, AND AT FIVE-YEAR INTERVALS THEREAFTER, THE PARTIES SHALL TOGETHER CONDUCT A REVIEW OF THIS TREATY.

ARTICLE XV

1. THIS TREATY SHALL BE OF UNLIMITED DURATION.

2. EACH PARTY SHALL, IN EXERCISING ITS NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY, HAVE THE RIGHT TO WITHDRAW FROM THIS TREATY IF IT DECIDES THAT EXTRAORDINARY EVENTS RELATED TO THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THIS TREATY HAVE JEOPARDIZED ITS SUPREME INTERESTS. IT SHALL GIVE NOTICE OF ITS DECISION TO THE OTHER PARTY SIX MONTHS PRIOR TO WITHDRAWAL FROM THE TREATY. SUCH NOTICE SHALL INCLUDE A STATEMENT OF THE EXTRAORDINARY EVENTS THE NOTIFYING PARTY REGARDS AS HAVING JEOPARDIZED ITS SUPREME INTERESTS.

ARTICLE XVI

1. THIS TREATY SHALL BE SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH CONSTITUTIONAL PROCEDURES OF EACH PARTY. THE TREATY SHALL ENTER INTO FORCE ON THE DAY OF THE EXCHANGE OF INSTRUMENTS OF RATIFICATION.

2. IN ACCORD WITH ARTICLE VIII (2) OF THE INTERIM AGREEMENT, UPON THE ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THIS TREATY THAT INTERIM
SECRET

PAGE 05 SALT T 05598 04 OF 04 221952Z

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE
U.S.A. AND THE U.S.S.R. ON CERTAIN MEASURES WITH RESPECT TO
THE LIMITATION OF STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS SHALL TERMINATE AND
SHALL NO LONGER BE IN FORCE.

3. THIS TREATY SHALL BE REGISTERED PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 102 OF
THE CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS.

DONE AT.....ON.....IN TWO COPIES, EACH
IN THE ENGLISH AND RUSSIAN LANGUAGES, BOTH TEXTS BEING EQUALLY
AUTHENTIC.

FOR THE UNITED STATES FOR THE UNION OF SOVIET
OF AMERICA SOCIALIST REPUBLICS
JOHNSON

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 11 MAY 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 22 OCT 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973SALTT05598
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: SALT TWO GENEVA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19731065/abqcehux.tel
Line Count: 542
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 10
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: ANOMALY
Review Date: 05 DEC 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: WITHDRAWN <05-Oct-2001 by eisnerah, 3.4.X6, (NO SUBJECT LINE; NEGOT. DETAILS)>; RELEASED <05-Dec-2001 by golinofr>; APPROVED <06-Dec-2001 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: n/a
TAGS: n/a
To: STATE INFO DOD
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005